

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROBERT ANDERSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**CLINTON TOWNSHIP POLICE
DEPARTMENT,**

Defendant.

2:18-CV-11291-TGB

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION**

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (ECF
No. 59)**

This matter is before the Court on Magistrate Judge Michael J. Hluchaniuk's January 3, 2020 Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 59), recommending that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 50) be GRANTED.

The Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The law provides that either party may serve and file written objections "[w]ithin fourteen days after being served with a copy" of a report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The district court will make a "*de novo* determination of those portions of the report . . . to

which objection is made.” *Id.* Where, as here, neither party objects to the report, the district court is not obligated to independently review the record. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149-52 (1985). The Court will therefore accept the Magistrate’s Report and Recommendation of January 3, 2020 as this Court’s findings of fact and conclusions of law.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge Hluchaniuk’s Report and Recommendation of January 3, 2020 is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED**. It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED**.

SO ORDERED

DATED this 5th day of February, 2020.

BY THE COURT:

/s/Terrence G. Berg

TERRENCE G. BERG

United States District Judge